

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upote.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/553,380	10/04/2006	Ralph Buesgen	2003P05648WOUS	3668	
Siemens Corps	7590 01/10/201 oration	EXAMINER			
Intellectual Property Department			ISOM, JOHN W		
170 Wood Ave Iselin, NJ 0883			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,	•		2447		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/10/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/553,380	BUESGEN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
John Isom	2447	

	JOHN ISOM	2447					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 17 December 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.					
 M The reply was flied after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following in application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.						
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A- no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to 	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date).						
Extensions of little rings de douarned unioner 37 GFR 1.136(q). The double have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 GFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth (ric) above, it checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 GFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the property of the pr	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection (s) filed after a final rejection (s) filed after a final rejection (s) filed after a f	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause				
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better 		disalan as almostifician ti	an lancon for				
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	aucing or simplifying ti	ie issues ioi				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)	16 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate, t	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\bigcirc \) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 21.23-27.31-33 and 38-42. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	t hefore or on the date of filing a No	ntice of Anneal will not	he entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail: ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:							
	/Karen C Tang/						
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2447					
	a.y Enaminor, rut o						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The scope of the claims is changed by new limitations including, inter alia, "wherein the order of devices stored in each device in the network in accordance with (i) - (iii) comprises the order of all of the devices" in claims 21 and 41, and "relative spatial arrangements among the devices including directional information" in claim 41, and "to provide passengers with the directional information and the type of device for the other devices in the network" in claim 42.